UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,718	06/02/2006	Abbas Razavi	F-873 (31223.00103)	8618	
25264 FINA TECHNO	7590 03/02/2007 DLOGY INC		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 674412			LU, C CAIXIA		
HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			·	·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/02/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/562,718	RAZAVI, ABBAS				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Caixia Lu	1713				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AM	ONTUCO OD TUIDTY (20) DA	ve			
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a relative to the communication of th	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communic  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 L	December 2006.					
•		s action is non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 12-25 is/are pending in the application	on.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12-25</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗀 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to	oy the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·				
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
-	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		auliantiau Na				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		· ·				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	•	received in this National Stage	;			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
·		33,000					
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		iummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	* *				

Application/Control Number: 10/562,718

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 12-17 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shamshoum et al. (US 6,143,683) in view of Kawai et al. (EP 1 138 687).

Shamshoum teaches a process for the preparation of isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene blend by polymerization propylene in the presence of a catalyst composition prepared by supporting an isotactic metallocene catalyst and a syndiotactic metallocene catalyst on a common support (col. 2, lines 50-59; col. 4, lines 15-29; and Examples 5 and 12). Examples 5 and 12 demonstrate an isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene blend containing 90% of isotactic polypropylene with Mw/Mn=160/60=2.7, Shanshoum's polypropylene blend meets the limitation of the instant claims.

It is noted that Shamshoum does not expressly teach the isotactic metallocene catalyst of the instant claims. However, using a metallocene containing a bridged cyclopentadienyl and fluorenyl ligand to prepare isotactic propylene polymers is conventional in the art and such is disclosed in Kawai (Abstract, [0214]-[0222], and Examples 47-126).

Shamshoum and Kawai are analogous because they both are from the same area of endeavor of metallocene catalyst composition for olefin polymerizations.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,718

Art Unit: 1713

Thus, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ Kawai's isotactic metallocene catalyst to Shamshoum's polymerization process in search a catalyst composition with increased activity and better tailored stereoregularities and in the absence of showing of criticality and unexpected results.

3. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shamshoum et al. (US 6,143,683) in view of Kawai et al. (EP 1 138 687) and further in view of Demain (WO 00/60148, its US family US 6,646,051 is referred to for convenience hereinafter).

The combined teaching of Shamshoum and Kawai is relied upon as shown above.

It is noted that Shamshoum does not expressly demonstrate the process of extruding fiber from the blend. However, extruding fiber from the isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene blend is well known in the art and is acknowledged in Shamshoum (col. 2, lines 3-5 and 27-29) and such is also taught in Demain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to extrude Shamshoum's blend to provide a fiber with improved mechanical properties and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results.

It is also noted that Shamshoum does not expressly teach the preparations of the isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylenes in separate reaction zones and then blending them together to provide the polypropylene blend. However, such a process are conventionally practice in the art in order to better control the properties such as

Application/Control Number: 10/562,718

Art Unit: 1713

molecular weight, molecular distribution and proportion of the individual polymer component in the blend. For example, Demain's isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylenes are prepared separately and then blended together to provide the blend. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to modify Shamshoum's process to prepare the isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylenes in separate reaction zones for the benefits cited above and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the art rejections of the record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/562,718 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner